

doi org/10.15392/2319-0612.2025.2796 2025, 13(2) | 01-15 | e2796 Submitted: 2024-10-13 Accepted: 2025-03-14



Adressing Operational Changes in time and radioactive activity administered following the introduction of PET-CT Equipment with New Technologies: A Retrospective Analysis

Coiro da Silva, D.^{1,2}; Freire, Songeli M.³; Galvão Bueno, A.¹; Sanches Melo,A.;⁴ Fujimori Coiro,A.⁵; López Díaz,A.;⁶ Jose Meyer, R.⁷

¹Medical Physicist, Santa Casa de Misericordia da Bahia, Salvador – Bahia, Brazil

² Physicist, Phd Student of postgraduate program Processos Interativos dos Órgãos e Sistemas, Health Science Institute, Federal University of Bahia, Brazil

³ Prof. associate IV of bioethics and Biosafety of the Biotechnology Department - Health Science Institute, UFBA. Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

⁴Physician Nuclear Medicine, Santa Casa de Misericordia da Bahia, Bahia, Brazil

⁵Electrical Engineering undergraduate student, UFSCAR, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil

⁶ Medical Physicist, Full Professor, Departament of Physical Sciences, Excellent Center of Physics and Engineering in Health, Universidad de la Frontera, Temuco, Chile

⁷ Full Professor of Health Science Institute, UFBA. Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

Correspondence: Daniel Coiro da Silva - dcoirosilva@gmail.com, Adlin López Díaz-adlin.lopez@ufrontera.cl

Abstract: Decreased radioactive activity to be injected in individuals for diagnostic exams performed on Positrons emission Tomography associated with Computed Tomography is becoming mandatory since the patient protection is one of the pillars for Radiation Protection. The technological advance opens the possibility to demonstrate this activity reduction as well as time reduction during the exam, which allow a better convinience to population, and still maintaining the quality of the diagnose. This study investigates operational changes observed after the introduction of a new PET-CT scanner (PET2), focusing on reductions in administered radioactive activity and acquisition time. The results show significant decreases in these parameters compared to a prior system (PET1). The scope of the study is limited to describing these operational impacts, based on the manteinance of diagnostic image quality support by the physician criteria.

Keywords: time reduction, activity reduction, PET-CT, new technology









doi org/10.15392/2319-0612.2025.2796 2025, 13(2) | 01-15 | e2796 Submitted: 2024-10-13 Accepted: 2025-03-14



Abordando mudanças operacionais no tempo e na atividade radioativa administrada após a introdução de equipamentos PET-CT com novas tecnologias: Uma análise retrospectiva

Resumo: A redução da atividade radioativa a ser injetada em indivíduos para exames diagnósticos realizados em Tomografia por Emissão de Pósitrons associada à Tomografia Computadorizada está se tornando obrigatória, uma vez que a proteção do paciente é um dos pilares da Proteção Radiológica. O avanço tecnológico abre a possibilidade de demonstrar essa redução de atividade, bem como a redução do tempo durante o exame, o que permite uma melhor conveniência à população, e ainda mantendo a qualidade do diagnóstico. Este estudo investiga as mudanças operacionais observadas após a introdução de um novo scanner PET-CT (PET2), com foco nas reduções na atividade radioativa administrada e no tempo de aquisição. Os resultados mostram reduções significativas nesses parâmetros em comparação com um sistema anterior (PET1). O escopo do estudo se limita a descrever esses impactos operacionais, com base na manutenção do suporte da qualidade da imagem diagnóstica pelos critérios do médico.

Palavras-chave: Redução de tempo, redução de atividade, PET-CT, novas tecnologias







1. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear Medicine (NM) procedures constitute 1.9% of the total medical imaging procedures, yet they contribute 8.6% to the collective effective dose, resulting in an average effective dose of 0.12 mSv per capita (1). NM plays a crucial role in clinical practice, driven by the increasing number of procedures and advancements in radiopharmaceuticals and multimodality imaging technologies (2). This expansion highlights the importance of implementing exposure optimization processes and establishing Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs) in accordance with international recommendations (3–6).

The advent of digital technology in PET/CT imaging has brought about significant advancements, particularly in enhancing image quality, reducing radiation exposure, and improving operational efficiency (7). For that reason, it is important to demonstrate with retrospective collected data from a Nuclear Medicine Service the time and activity reduction administrated to individuals, during diagnostic exams made on Positron emission Tomography associated with Computed Tomography (PET-CT), assessing the impact of new technology. In order to make the exam a radiopharmaceutical called Fludesoxiglicose (18F)(8) was administred to patients. Based on technological advances from the machine purchased by a Northeast region Hospital in Brazil, this decrease made on the diagnostic exam presented no loss in quality whatsoever. Therefore, this procedure indirectly contributes to the radiological protection of patients and workers (Ocupationally exposed individuals – OIE). This project was approved by the CEP of the institute.



2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Encrypted data collection from 175 individual exams performed between July and August, 2022, and another 175 individual exams performed between May to July, 2024, from a Nuclear Medicine service in the Northeast region of Brazil.

There were 2 machines from Siemens manufacturer. A Biograph TruPoint16 (PET1) (9) released in 2009, and a Biograph Vision-450 (PET2) (10) released in 2018.

Both machines presented the *Lutetium Oxyorthosilicate* (LSO) crystals on the PET side. The technological diferences between the machines were presented in the featured photomultiplier (PMT). PET1 has a vacum glass tube with a photocathode inside, a series of electrodes with increasing voltage called dynodes, and an anode for each crystal block. PET2 presented a Silicon Photomultiplier solid state detector system (SiPM) (11), with a whole crystal coverage, which presented a better use for signal and detected radiation.

PET1 presented a total of 24336 crystals with 4,0x4,0x20mm dimensions, while PET2 presented a total of 45600 crystals with 3,2x3,2x20mm, double of detectors from 1.

PET 1 has a coincidence time of 495,00 picoseconds (ps), and PET2, with time of fly technology (TOF), the value is 212,75 ps. TOF provides an improvement in the signal-to-noise ratio, with consequent better use of the generated coincidences.

The exams were carried out in PET1 by "Bed" (static bed positions) with determined time, which moved and stopped moving according to the configuration applied by the operator. In PET2, the technological advance of the "Flow Motion" system, the bed moves in constant velocity (also with the configuration applied by the operator), but with no stopping on each determined time.

For individuals' registration a standard table data was used with the following information: date of exam (dd/mm/year), gender, weight (Kg), height (m), acquisition time (min) and administered activity (MBq).



2.1. Data Analysis

Descriptive and comparative statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 20 (12), ensuring data confidentiality and anonymity. Descriptive statistics of the data utilized frequency, percentage, mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, and median to summarize results of continuous variables, while categorical variables were summarized using number and percent. The assumption of normal distribution was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. To evaluate differences in demographic contínuos data between different technologies, the non-parametric t-test for independent samples was applied, with a 95% confidence interval and a significance level of 0.05. Only in the sex statistical correspondence between samples was analyses using a Chi-square test. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to compare the dosimetry variables between the two machines.

3. RESULTS:

The table 1 shows the results of the descriptive and comparative statistical analyses of demographyc variables and activity per equipment. Demographic variables show no significant diferences between both groups, meanwhile acquisition time and activity were statistically diferent. All the studies were informed with diagnostic quality by the nuclear medicine physicians, addressing there is not impact on overall clinical image parameters. The average results found in PET1 for 175 individuals were 91 females (52%) and 84 males (48%), average measured weight of 68,47 \pm 13,52 kg, height of 1,65 \pm 0,09m and administered activity of 270,10 \pm 55,59 MBq. The average injected activity by weight in PET1 was 3,93 MBq/kg. The figure 1 shows the distribution of activity per weight, meanwhile the figure 2 and the figure 3 show the same for female and male respectively. The average results found in PET1 for female gender have the measured weight of 64,74 \pm 13,16 kg, height of 1,59 \pm 0,07 m and administered activity of 257,78 \pm 54,67 MBq. For male gender, the average



measured weight is of 72,51 \pm 12,78 kg, height of 1,70 \pm 0,07 m and administered activity of 280,80 \pm 54,39 MBq.

The results from PET2 for 175 individuals, on which 108 are female (61,37%) and 67 are male (38,3%), with average measured weight of $69,53 \pm 13,59$ kg, height of $1,63 \pm 0,10$ m and administered activity of 171,19 \pm 47,87 MBq. The figure 4 shows the distribution of activity per weight, while the figure 5 and the figure 6 show the same for female and male respectively. The observed results in P2 for female gender have the measured weight of $66,52\pm13,11$ kg, height of $1,59 \pm 0,07$ m and administered activity of $164,92 \pm 47,90$ MBq. In the male gender, we have the average measured weight of $71,78 \pm 13,44$ kg, height of $1,68 \pm 0,11$ m and administered activity of $181,31 \pm 45,58$ MBq.

In terms of acquisition time, PET1 presented an average acquisition time of 30 ± 10 min per patient and PET2 of 15 ± 5 min. When using PET2 there was a reduction of 36,4% for injected activity in the exams, associated with reduction of 50% in the acquisition time (patient permanence time in the machine).

Variable	PET 1 (Media ± SD)	PET 2 (Media ± SD)	Valor p
Weight (kg)	$68,5 \pm 13,5$	$69,53 \pm 13,59$	0,335
Height (m)	$1,7 \pm 0,1m$	$1,63 \pm 0,10$	0,069
BMI	25,9 ± 4,9	25,2± 4,4	0,181
Activity (MBq)	270,1 ± 55,6	171 , 2 ± 47,9	0,003
Acquisition time (min)	30 ± 10	15 ± 5	0,012
	PET 1 (n, %)	PET 2 (n, %)	Valor p
Sex	91 females (52%) 84 males (48%),	108 females (61,37%) 67 males (38,3%)	0.12

Table 1 : Descriptive and comparative statistical analyses of demographyc variables and activity per equipment





Figure 1: Administered activity by weight (PET1)



Figure 1: Administered activity by weight (PET1 Female)





Figure 2 : Administered activity by weight (PET1 Male)









Figure 4 : Administered activity by weight (PET2 Female)



Figure 5 : Administered activity by weight (PET2 Male)



4. DISCUSSION

In the Brazilian Nuclear Medicine Society (SBMN) (13) Guideline the recommendation for activity to be injected is around 4,44 to 5,18 MBq/kg and acquisition time of 30-40 minutes depending on the stature of the patient.

The Society of Nuclear Medicine & Molecular Imaging (SNMMI) (14) recommends the dose to be injected to be around 3,7-5,2 MBq/kg, and the acquisition time of the image around 25 to 30 minutes, depending on the stature of the patient.

If we observe the distributions of activity by weight in both sexes, a dispersion is evident without a discernible trend line. Interpreting differences in FDG uptake between males and females in PET scans requires careful consideration of various biological and methodological factors. The guidelines emphasize the importance of standardizing uptake values and recognizing the influence of sex on metabolic processes (15–17). Considering the differences in weight and size between sexes, the analysis was performed independently, showing clearer trend lines in both cases, where higher administered activity corresponds to higher weight. Notably, in PET1, there is a clear trend that should align better for the PET2 system once the optimization process is completed. For this reason, it is important to study exposure levels and establish typical values that reflect actual clinical practice and enable the development of better practices, including the management of sex differences (18,19).

Digital PET systems, which leverage silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs), have revolutionized PET imaging by optimizing performance parameters and enhancing diagnostic capabilities. These systems demonstrate improved spatial resolution through optimized scintillation crystal sizes, resulting in better lesion detectability (20). Additionally, the integration of SiPM technology enables a larger axial field of view (AFOV), contributing to dynamic imaging and superior signal-to-noise ratios (20,21). In terms of radiation dose, digital PET has significantly reduced the injected activity of radiopharmaceuticals, with reports of reductions from 350 MBq to 190 MBq, equating to a 54% decrease (7). Moreover,



digital systems present lower dose length product (DLP) values, particularly benefiting obese patients by minimizing radiation exposure (22). Operationally, digital PET scanners enable shorter scan times and improved patient throughput, critical for clinical efficiency (22). Furthermore, the stability of time-of-flight (TOF) resolution across varying count rates ensures consistent image quality, even under high activity conditions (21). However, transitioning from analog to digital PET/CT systems presents challenges, including high initial costs and the need for personnel training, which can be particularly burdensome for facilities with limited budgets or resources.

In this approach we have had a reduction around 36,4% of 18F-FDG administered activitity to the patients, compared to Brazilian and American guidelines. Therefore, there was a significant improvement of patients radiation protection (less radiopharmaceutical recieved) and OIEs (less radiation material to be handled, less external radiation exposure during patient attention), as confirm others authors (7,23).

Ida et al. (24) highlights the possibility of acquisition time reduction on similar technology machines as PET2, but it does not evaluate the possibility of injected activity.

When compared to Brazilian and American guidelines, the acquisition time for this kind of exam have shown a 50% reduction, which means less time for patient to lie down during procedure. As a result, patient will be more comfortable, and in some cases the decreasing of the claustrophobic sensation reported by some patients in the research.

Therefore, we were able to perform faster studies, using less 18F-FDG material injected in patients. It's important to emphasize this is the first research of this nature, since PET2 is the first of its kind to be installed in Brazilian territory, and it's been installed in less than six months.



5. CONCLUSIONS

As a conclusion we can highlight the injected activity reduction on PET2 with less permanence time of patient during acquisition. The injected activity reduction in the patient contributes for the exposure decrease of the Workers in the service (OIEs) and the patient itself, following the basic Radiation Protection principles. The shorter time of acquisition benefit the claustrophobic sensation or even the discomfort of patients for living down in the dorsal decubitus position for longer time.

New studies should be done to evaluate the decressing of both, time and activity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by Santa Casa da Bahia – Hospital Santa Izabel (Nuclear Medicine Dept.) and Programa Processos Interativos dos Orgão e Sistemas do ICS/UFBA.

FUNDING

The research was conducted with no third part funding.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.



REFERENCES

- UNSCEAR. UNSCEAR 2020/2021: Report to the General Assembly with Scientific, vol I. Annexes A. Evaluation of medical exposure to ionizing radiation (New York, 2022). [Internet]. New York; 2022 [cited 2024 Jun 5]. Available from: https://www.unscear.org/unscear/uploads/documents/publications/UNSCEAR_202 0_21_Annex-A.pdf
- [2] O'Brien SR, Mankoff DA. Nuclear Medicine Cancer Care—Current Status and Future Directions for Radiopharmaceutical Diagnostics and Theranostics for Cancer. The Cancer Journal [Internet]. 2024;30(3). Available from: https://journals.lww.com/journalppo/fulltext/2024/05000/nuclear_medicine_cancer_ care_current_status_and.2.aspx
- [3] IAEA. IAEA Safety Reports Series No. 112 Patient radiation exposure monitoring in medical imaging. Vol. ISSN 1020–6450. Vienna, Austria: IAEA, Austria July 2023 STI/PUB/1976; 2023. 1–132 p.
- [4] López A, Corio D, González DE, Reyes J, Torres LA, Renha SK. Niveles de Referencia para Diagnóstico según PUBMED: ¿es suficiente la información disponible? . J health med sci [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Nov 12];9(3):37–49. Available from: https://revistas.uta.cl/pdf/3010/06-lopez%20rev.9.3651b13ff34bb1.pdf
- [5] IAEA. NORMAS DE SEGURIDAD DEL OIEA No SSG-46. PROTECCIÓN Y SEGURIDAD RADIOLÓGICAS EN LOS USOS MÉDICOS DE LA RADIACIÓN IONIZANTE [Internet]. 2022. 1–378 p. Available from: www.iaea.org/es/recursos/normas-de-seguridad
- [6] ACR–AAPM–SPR commission. ACR-AAPM-SPR PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR DIAGNOSTIC REFERENCE LEVELS AND ACHIEVABLE DOSES IN MEDICAL X-RAY IMAGING [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Dec 3]. Available from: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/diag-reflevels.pdf?la=en
- [7] Loughman E, Maguire S, Hastings P, Banahan C. The impact of digital PET on radiation protection requirements in PET\CT facilities. Physica Medica: European Journal of Medical Physics [Internet]. 2024 Feb 1;118. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2024.103222
- [8] ANVISA. Farmacopeia Brasileira [Internet]. 6a edição Brasil: ANVISA; 2019. Available from: https://bibliotecadigital.anvisa.gov.br/jspui/handle/anvisa/798



- [9] BiographTM TruePoint PET-CT, Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc, 2019.
- [10] Biograph Vision 450, Siemens Healthcare GmbH, 06, 2021.
- [11] Fardipour Raki G, Ghahremani Gol M, Sahraei M, Khakzad M. SiPM and PMT driving, signals count, and peak detection circuits, suitable for particle detection. Journal of Instrumentation [Internet]. 2022;17(09):T09011. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/17/09/T09011
- [12] IBM corporation. IBM SPSS Statistics 20 Documentation. Product Documentation. . 2016. p. 1–145.
- [13] Bárbara Juarez Amorim; Juliano Cerci; Elaine Bortoleti de Araújo; Tadeu Kubo; Solange Nogueira; Marissa Rivera. GUIDELINE PARA PET/CT FDG-18F. Brasilia; 2016.
- [14] Vali R, Alessio A, Balza R, Borgwardt L, Bar-Sever Z, Czachowski M, et al. SNMMI Procedure Standard/EANM Practice Guideline on Pediatric <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT for Oncology 1.0. Journal of Nuclear Medicine [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1;62(1):99. Available from: http://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/62/1/99.abstract
- [15] Waqas K, Van Haard PMM, Postema JWA, Schweitzer DH. Diabetes mellitus-related fractional glucose uptake in men and women imaged with 18F-FDG PET-CT. J Endocr Soc. 2019;3(4):773–83.
- [16] Ahmed A, Ali M, Salah H, Eisa RE, Mohieldin H, Omer H, et al. Evaluation of uptake values of FDG: Body surface area Vs. body weight correction. Radiation Physics and Chemistry [Internet]. 2022;201:110482. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0969806X22005217
- [17] Keramida GPM. The appropriate whole body metric for calculating standardised uptake value and the influence of sex. Nucl Med Commun [Internet]. 2019 Oct [cited 2025 Jan 25];(40):3–7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1097/MNM.000000000000935
- [18] Vañó E, Miller DL, Martin CJ, Rehani MM, Kang K, Rosenstein M, et al. ICRP Publication 135: Diagnostic Reference Levels in Medical Imaging. Ann ICRP [Internet]. 2017 Oct 1;46(1):1–144. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0146645317717209
- [19] Amador Balbona ZH, López Díaz A, Torres Aroche LA. Niveles de referencia para diagnóstico en medicina nuclear e imagen híbrida. Revisión y actualización. Nucleus [Internet]. 2022 Sep 26 [cited 2025 Jan 25];72(72):1. Available from: http://nucleus.cubaenergia.cu/index.php/nucleus/article/view/766/1063



- [20] Singh MK. A review of digital PET-CT technology: Comparing performance parameters in SiPM integrated digital PET-CT systems. Radiography [Internet]. 2024 Jan 1;30(1):13–20. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2023.10.004
- [21] Salvadori J, Odille F, Karcher G, Marie PY, Imbert L. Fully digital PET is unaffected by any deterioration in TOF resolution and TOF image quality in the wide range of routine PET count rates. EJNMMI Phys [Internet]. 2021;8(1):1. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40658-020-00344-5
- [22] Hatami S, Frye S, McMunn A, Botkin C, Muzaffar R, Christopher K, et al. Added Value of Digital over Analog PET/CT: More Significant as Image Field of View and Body Mass Index Increase. J Nucl Med Technol [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1;48(4):354. Available from: <u>http://tech.snmjournals.org/content/48/4/354.abstract</u>
- [23] Mahady B, McHugh E, Egan P, Mason D. Review of patient and staff dose reduction following the installation of a digital PETCT scanner. Physica Medica: European Journal of Medical Physics [Internet]. 2024 Feb 1;118. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2024.103271
- [24] Sonni I, Baratto L, Park S, Hatami N, Srinivas S, Davidzon G, et al. Initial experience with a SiPM-based PET/CT scanner: influence of acquisition time on image quality. EJNMMI Phys [Internet]. 2018;5(1):9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40658-018-0207-x

LICENSE

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.